

INTER CALIBRATION BETWEEN A VIAL SCINTILLATION
DETECTOR AND A GEIGER-MUELLER COUNTER

JOE V. MOREY

Littell
U. S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

...



INTER CALIBRATION BETWEEN A
VIAL SCINTILLATION DETECTOR
AND A
GEIGER-MUELLER COUNTER

* * * * *

Joe V. Morey

INTER CALIBRATION BETWEEN A
VIAL SCINTILLATION DETECTOR
AND A
GEIGER-MUELLER COUNTER

by

Joe V. Morey

Lieutenant Colonel, United States Army

Submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements
for the degree of
BACHELOR OF SCIENCE
IN
PHYSICS

United States Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

1 9 5 5

Thesis

M 8225

This work is accepted as fulfilling
the thesis requirements for the degree of

BACHELOR OF SCIENCE

IN

PHYSICS

from the

United States Naval Postgraduate School

PREFACE

Radiation particle counting equipment which may be used at high counting rates in conjunction with Geiger-Mueller or crystal scintillation detectors is employed in this laboratory. It is proposed that this apparatus be used in some instances for estimation of true activities or absolute disintegration rates. The calibrated detector method for this determination was selected since it affords a considerable versatility of the equipment with regard to the sources with which it may be used. A Geiger-Mueller detector with conventionally arranged sample mount and a crystal scintillation detector of the well type were calibrated. Results are given herein together with estimates of reliability.

The writer wishes to thank Professor W. W. Hawes of the Department of Metallurgy and Chemistry for his assistance, encouragement and cooperation in this investigation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	Title	Page
Chapter I	Introduction	1
Chapter II	Instrumentation	4
Chapter III	Calibration of Geiger-Mueller Counter	8
Chapter IV	Calibration of Vial Scintillation Detector for I ¹³¹	19
Chapter V	Conclusions	22
Bibliography	23
Appendix I	Calibration Data for Geiger-Mueller Counter .	24
Appendix II	Calibration Data for Vial Scintillation Detector	27
Appendix III	Determination of I ¹³¹ Strength	29

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1.	Photograph of Apparatus	3
2.	Modification of Multiscaler 1070A	5
3.	Geiger-Mueller Counter Efficiency Shelf #1 .	12
4.	Geiger-Mueller Counter Efficiency Shelf #2 .	13
5.	Geiger-Mueller Counter Efficiency Shelf #3 .	14
6.	Geiger-Mueller Counter Efficiency Shelf #4 .	15
7.	Geiger-Mueller Counter Efficiency Shelf #5 .	16
8.	Calibration Chart Showing Comparative Efficiency on Five Shelves for Geiger- Mueller Counter	17
9.	Graphical Representation of Coefficients of Mass Absorption	18

TABLE OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

mc	- Millicurie
ml	- Milliliter
E	- Efficiency of G.M.C.
M_o	- Counting rate corrected for background
M	- Observed counting rate of G.M.C.
f_b	- Back scattering factor
f_d	- Dead time factor
f_s	- Self absorption factor
f_w	- Aluminum window and air attenuation
$\frac{\mu}{\rho}$	- Mass absorption coefficient $\frac{mg}{cm^2}^{-1}$
D	- Disintegrations per second
E_s	- Efficiency for V.S.C.
M_s	- Counting rate of V.S.C. after background correction

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1. Discussion

The determination of absolute disintegration rates has been accomplished by three general methods each of which offers particular advantages. Probably the most accurate of these of wide adaptability is the 4- π counter in which radiation in all directions from the source is detected with roughly equal efficiency. Sources of moderate area deposited on on-scattering mountings may be counted with efficiency approaching 100%. However, the sample must be introduced inside the detector, a procedure not compatible with rapid or extensive counting requirements. Low geometry arrangements have been proposed for which the efficiency of detections may be calculated. Such arrangements are limited to high activity sources if long time counting is to be avoided. In addition to the time involved, factors such as background corrections and instrument stability become more significant and tend to reduce the reliability of measurements.

Coincidence measurements of beta and gamma radiations emitted nearly simultaneously provide a second method for determination of absolute disintegration rates. Separate counting rates for the two radiations together with the rate of coincident detection may be combined to eliminate individual detection efficiencies. This method requires elaborate instrumentation and also may involve

errors from complex disintegration schemes, possible angular correlations, bremsstrahlung or other sources.

Beta detection efficiency determination by evaluation of counting rates of sources of known strength, probably offers the simplest and most adaptable method for absolute disintegration rates. The main limitations of this method are the accuracy of calibration of standards and the precision to which the half life is known. Beta adsorption by the tube window must be small and the geometric arrangement reproducible, conditions met by the apparatus employed here.

2. Inter Calibrating Procedure

Calibration of the scintillation counter was accomplished by inter comparison of an I^{131} solution. The strength of this solution was determined using efficiencies obtained in calibration of the GM counter.




FIGURE 1 - APPARATUS

U. S. NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Monterey, California

NC4 3522 Date 4-22-55

**OFFICIAL U.S. NAVY
PHOTOGRAPH**

Not for Publication
Unless Officially Released

CHAPTER II

INSTRUMENTATION

1. Apparatus

The apparatus consisted of scintillation counter and Geiger-Mueller counter assemblies together with shields and a scaling unit for use with either assembly. It is shown in the photograph, Fig. 1. A brief description of equipment characteristics and organization, is given below.

2. Scaling Unit - Model 1070 A


This unit was manufactured by the Atomic Instrument Co. of Cambridge, Massachusetts. It was a binary scaler with a built in power supply, timer, and automatic reset. It could be operated automatically for a preselected number of counts, or for a preselected time or by the conventional manual control.

The main characteristics were as follows: rise time of pulse amplifiers at 10% to 90% of maximum amplitude, 0.3 microsecond; resolving time, 1 microsecond; high voltage continuously variable between 400 to 2500 volts dc; stop clock accuracy, ± 0.02 min; timer accuracy, ± 1 second; and a maximum counting rate of 200,000 cps.

3. Scaler Modification

A minor wiring modification was necessary to permit operation with the scintillation counter. This involved adding a capacity isolated input connector as shown in the diagram, Fig. 2.





4. Scintillation Counter - Model 2250

This counter was manufactured by the Berkley Division, Beckman Instruments, Inc. of Richmond, California. It employed a thallium activated sodium iodide crystal and an RCA 5819 photomultiplier tube. The crystal was $1\frac{1}{2}$ " in diameter by 1" thick, had a $\frac{3}{4}$ " diameter hole through its center. The crystal was sealed in a dry atmosphere within an aluminum can with a glass window. The aluminum can had a reentrant "well" $\frac{5}{8}$ " diameter which extended into the hole in the crystal. The optical coupling between crystal and photomultiplier tube was optimized by means of a lucite disc and petroleum jelly. The thickness of the aluminum housing was 0.025".

5. Geiger-Mueller Tubes - Model TGC-2

This tube supplied by Tracerlab Inc. of Boston, Massachusetts,

was a mica end-window type with window thickness less than 2 mg/cm^2 . The window diameter was 1.1". The tube was helium filled and organic vapor quenched.

6. Lead Shield-Vertical - Model LS-6

This shield was developed by the Atomic Energy Commission and is known as the "Schenectady" type. It was manufactured by Technical Associates, Burbank, California. It provided shielding of $1 \frac{3}{8}$ " of lead, and $\frac{1}{4}$ " of brass and incorporated an aluminum liner to minimize back scattered radiation. The tube mount, was constructed of lucite and provided five sample positions. The overall geometric reproducibility was estimated as 0.1%.

7. Lead Shield - Model 2254

This shield also furnished by Technical Associates of Burbank, California, was of similar construction but open on the bottom permitting fit over the sensitive portion of the vial counter shielding the top and sides. Shielding was 1" lead and $\frac{5}{32}$ " brass.

8. Organization of Equipment and Nomenclature

The Geiger-Mueller Counter assembly consists of TCG-2 Geiger-Mueller tube #2DL1 mounted in LS-6 lead shield, (serial #824) and operated in conjunction with the model 1070A scaling unit. The equipment was mounted on mobile racks as shown in Fig. 1. The 2250 scintillation counter (serial #124) shielded by model 2254 lead shield (serial #107) was alternately operated with this scaler.

The uncalibrated counter for interim counting, pending a-

availability of the calibrated counter, hereafter referred to as the "interim counter", consisted of tube #2 DK95 mounted in lead shield, model LS-6, (serial #786) and was operated with a Berkeley Decade Scaler. The decade scaler was of common design with five microsecond resolving time and counting limit of 1,000 counts per second. It was adequate for the low counting rate where it was employed.

CHAPTER III

CALIBRATION OF THE GEIGER-MUELLER COUNTER

1. Discussion

The Geiger-Mueller Counter was calibrated by the determination of beta detection efficiency over an energy range of 0.155 to 2.32 Mev. Calibration was made at five different geometries giving a range of efficiencies for medium and high energy beta particles extending from about one to the order of 20 per cent.

The calibrated beta ray reference sources consist of five sources, protactinium - 234, bismuth - 210, thalium - 204, cobalt-60 and carbon - 14. Each source was evenly distributed over a copper planchet 22 mm in diameter. With the exception of the protactinium - 234 sources all active deposits were essentially weightless. The protactinium was in equilibrium with uranium - 238 of approximately 10 mg/cm² thickness. An aluminum foil covers the planchet to prevent loss of activity by mechanical abrasion and to filter out alpha and thorium - 234 radiations in the case of the protactinium source. The planchet was mounted on an aluminum block and the whole assemblage held together by an aluminum retaining ring.

Appendix I(a) pertains to these reference sources and gives computed strengths at the date of calibration, April 15, 1955. They were furnished by the Atomic Instrument Company. The suppliers estimate of accuracy was ± 10 per cent. However, internal

consistency of results and inter comparison with standards from a different supplier indicated that this estimate was too conservative. It seemed that an accuracy of ± 1 per cent was more realistic and this estimate was adopted in computations. Consequently it is believed that derived efficiencies are reliable to at least ± 10 per cent original estimate.

2. Laboratory Procedure

The counter was operated at a voltage of 1250 volts, approximately 100 volts above the lower limit of the plateau.

Tube dead time was determined with a Tektronix 511AD cathode ray oscilloscope. The value found was 100 ± 10 microseconds.

For each radioisotope used a mass absorption coefficient was determined from a plot of counting rate versus absorber thickness of aluminum. These data are listed in Appendix I(c) and presented graphically in Fig. 9. The data are shown normalized to 10 counts per second at zero adsorber thickness.

Each calibrated source was counted on each of the five shelves of the counter. Sufficient counts were taken to insure statistical accuracy in the vicinity of $\pm 1\%$ expressed as 95% confidence limits. These data are contained in Appendix I(b). If counting rates were as low as 5 counts per second, accuracy was insured by taking background counts as often as every three counting runs.

3. Mathematical Relations

The basic equation used in calculating beta counting efficiency is:

$$M_0 = E D f_d f_s f_b f_w$$

The factors f_d , f_s , f_b and f_w are respectively corrections for dead time, self adsorption, back scattering and adsorption of window and air.

M_0 = counting rate corrected for backgroun in counts per second

E = efficiency

D = disintegrations per second

$f_d = \frac{1-Mt}{M}$ where M is observed counting rate and t the dead time

$f_s = 1$ for all specimens used

$f_b = 1.61$ for copper

$f_w = e^{-\frac{\mu}{\rho} x}$ where $\frac{\mu}{\rho}$ is the mass adsorption coefficient and x is thickness. For tube #2DL1, $x = 1.9 + (\text{air space})(1.2)$

$\frac{mg}{cm^2}$ (Appendix IIId).

4. Graphical Representation

After the efficiency of each calibrated beta ray source was determined for each shelf (Appendix Ie) these data were plotted as beta counting efficiency versus maximum energy in Mev. (see Figs. 3 through 8). Counting efficiency for beta particles of any energy within the calibration range can be estimated by interpolation. The efficiencies increase regularly with energy and with decreasing separation between the tube and source for the lower four sample positions. On shelf #1 closest to the tube the

the efficiency curve shows a maximum in the vicinity of bismuth - 210, 1.17 Mev. maximum energy. This may be explained by particles of sufficiently high energy penetrating the tube wall outside the sensitive volume (at the extreme lower portion of the tube) and hence escaping detection.

GEIGER-MUELLER COUNTER EFFICIENCY vs ENERGY IN MILLION ELECTRON VOLTS

SHELF #1

TUBE #2DDL1

Efficiency

26%

16%

6%

Ri²¹⁰

Th²³²

Pa²³⁴

60Co

C¹⁴

MAXIMUM ENERGY IN MEV

0.5

1.0

1.5

2.0

2.5

GEIGER-MUELLER COUNTER. EFFICIENCY vs ENERGY IN MILLION ELECTRON VOLTS

SHELF #2

TUBE #2011

Efficiency

10%

5%

0%

204
TX

210
BI

Pa
234

MAXIMUM ENERGY IN MEV


0.5

1.0

1.5

2.0

2.5



GEIGER-MUELLER COUNTER EFFICIENCY vs ENERGY IN MILLION ELECTRON VOLTS

SHELF #3

TUBE #2DL1

Efficiency

6%

5%

4%

3%

2%

1%

MAXIMUM ENERGY IN MEV

0.5

1.0

1.5

2.0

2

^{234}Pa

^{210}Bi

^{204}Tl

^{60}Co

^{14}C

GEIGER-MUELLER COUNTER EFFICIENCY vs ENERGY IN MILLION ELECTRON VOLTS

efficiency
3%

SHELF #4

TUBE #2DL1

Pa²³⁴

Bi²¹⁰

Ir²⁰⁴

Co⁶⁰

C¹⁴

MAXIMUM ENERGY IN MEV


0.5

1.0

1.5

2.0

2.5



GEIGER-MUELLER COUNTER EFFICIENCY VS ENERGY IN MILLION ELECTRON VOLTS

SHELF #5 - TUBE #2DL1

Bi²¹⁴

Pb²¹⁴

Co⁶⁰

C¹⁴

MAXIMUM ENERGY IN MEV

Efficiency

1.3%

0.8%

0.3%


0.5

1.0

1.5

2.0

2.5





COMPARISON OF GEIGER-MUELLER COUNTER EFFICIENCY VS ENERGY IN MILLION ELECTRON VOLTS

NUMBERS INDICATE SHELF NUMBER OF LEAD TOWER

TUBE #2BDL1

Efficiency

26%

16%

6%

0

1

2

3

4

5

B₁ 210

204

P₆ 234

60

14

C

0.5

1.0

1.5

2.0

2.5







FIGURE 9



CHAPTER IV

CALIBRATION OF THE VIAL SCINTILLATION DETECTOR FOR I^{131}

1. Discussion

The advantage of the scintillation detector is that all gamma quanta absorbed in the volume of the crystal can be counted and that even very low energy gamma rays may be counted. However, to insure proper gamma ray counting, beta rays emitted by an isotope should be completely absorbed before they reach the crystal. In the present scintillator counter the thickness of the aluminum crystal housing plus the glass vial is approximately $400 \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{cm}^2}$ hence beta emissions from I^{131} are completely adsorbed. Extraneous radiations are reduced by a lead shield surrounding the detector.

2. Laboratory Procedure

The optimum counting rate for I^{131} as a function of voltage was determined and an operating point of 1225 volts selected.

A solution of iodine - 131 in distilled water was prepared. From this solution, four copper backed I^{131} planchets were made using the following volumes for beta ray counting:

Planchet #	Millileters of I^{131}
1	0.852
2	0.846
3	0.872
4	0.859

These were counted on the fifth shelf of the Geiger-Mueller counter

(tube #2DKL95) and at a later date a comparison in counting rate between this counter and the calibrated counter was made. (Appendix III). From the same solution twelve vials, each containing 1 ml of solution, were prepared for gamma counting by the scintillation counter. (Appendix II).

3. Determination of I^{131} Strength

All counts have been decayed back to 1000 hours February 9, 1955 and corrected to 1 milliliter.

Counting rate of interim counter for I^{131} was $89.7 \pm 0.45\%$ counts per second per milliliter. (Appendix IIIa).

Ratio of counting rates of calibrated counter to interim counter for I^{131} was $1.123 \pm 0.69\%$. (Appendix IIIb).

From Fig. 7, the beta counting efficiency for I^{131} was estimated as follows:

Beta energy in MEV	(% abundance)(Beta counting efficiency)
0.81;	$0.007 \times 0.0130 = 0.00009$
0.61;	$0.872 \times 0.0121 = 0.01055$
0.34;	$0.093 \times 0.010 = 0.00093$
0.25;	<u>$0.028 \times 0.0081 = 0.00023$</u>
Total efficiency	$0.01180 \pm 2.5\%*$

$$D = \frac{M}{f_s f_w f_b E} = \frac{1.123 \times 89.7}{1 \times 0.621 \times 1.61 \times 0.0118}$$

$$D = 8,536 \pm 2.6\% \text{ disintegrations per second per milliliter}$$

*Estimated maximum interpolation error

4. Determination of Scintillation Counter Efficiency

The efficiency of the scintillation counter is given by:

$$E_s = \frac{M_s}{D}$$

where: M_s = scintillator counting rate less background count

D = strength of the isotope in disintegrations per second

Other factors which must be considered for the Geiger-Mueller counter are insignificant in this calibration. The scintillator counting rate for I^{131} decayed back to 1000 hours February 9, 1955, is $4,289 \pm 0.54\%$ counts/second/ml, (Appendix II)

$$E_s = \frac{M_s}{D} = \frac{4,289 \pm 0.54\%}{8,536 \pm 2.6\%}$$

$$E_s = 0.502 \pm 2.7\% \text{ for 1 ml of } I^{131}$$

CHAPTER V

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Counter efficiency for five geometries of a Geiger-Mueller Counter has been determined by the calibrated detector method, offering an estimated accuracy of $\pm 2.5\%$ for beta particles between 0.155 and 2.32 MEV.

A procedure for inter calibration of a Vial Scintillation Counter and a Geiger-Mueller Counter has been presented and the scintillation counter calibrated for I^{131} . Efficiency for I^{131} was determined as $0.502 \pm 2.7\%$ for optimum experimental conditions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Bleuler, E. and Goldsmith, G.J. EXPERIMENTAL NUCLEONICS, Rinehart & Company, 1952
2. Carr, R.T. and Hine, G.J. PHYSICAL BASIS FOR GAMMA-RAY SCINTILLATION DOSIMETRY, Thesis C2716 - Library, USNPS
3. Curran, S.C. LUMINESCENCE AND THE SCINTILLATION COUNTER, Academic Press, 1953
4. Friedland, G. and Kennedy, J.W. INTRODUCTION TO RADIOCHEMISTRY, John Wiley & Sons, 1949
5. Pellard, E.C. and Davidson, W.L. APPLIED NUCLEAR PHYSICS, 2nd Edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1951
6. INSTRUCTION MANUAL FOR SCALER, 1070A, Atomic Instrument Company, 1954
7. INSTRUCTION MANUAL FOR VIAL SCINTILLATION DETECTOR MODEL 2250, Beckman Instruments, Inc., 1954
8. INSTRUCTION MANUAL FOR ATOMICS CALIBRATED BETA RAY REFERENCE SOURCES, Atomic Instrument Company.
9. NUCLEAR SCIENCE ABSTRACTS, Vol. 6 No. 24B, Atomic Energy Commission, Dec. 31, 1952

APPENDIX I

Calibration data for Geiger-Mueller Counter

Components:

Tube #2DL1, Lead Shield #824, Multiscaler #4522

Operational Data:

Scaler voltage setting 1250 Volts, Pulse Height Selector + 20 volts

Tube Data:

Dead time 10^{-4} seconds

Window thickness $1.9 \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{cm}^2}$

Counts:

All counts corrected for dead time and background count; accuracy expressed as 95% confidence limit.

a) CALIBRATED BETA RAY REFERENCE SOURCES

Isotope	C ¹⁴	Co ⁶⁰	Ir ²⁰⁴	Bi ²¹⁰	Pa ²³⁴
T _{1/2}	0.57 x 10 ⁴ yrs	5.3 yrs	4.0 yrs	22 yrs	4.5 x 10 ⁹ yrs
Beta Energy	0.155 MEV	0.31 MEV	0.765 MEV	1.17 MEV	2.32 MEV
Calibrated Source Strength 10-51	14.6 x 10 ⁻⁵ Mc	1.03 x 10 ⁻⁵ Mc	1.03 x 10 ⁻⁵ Mc	1.31 x 10 ⁻⁵ Mc	0.57 x 10 ⁻⁵ Mc
Computed Strength 4-15-55	14.6 x 10 ⁻⁵ Mc	6.49 x 10 ⁻⁶ Mc	5.57 x 10 ⁻⁶ Mc	1.17 x 10 ⁻⁶ Mc	0.57 x 10 ⁻⁵ Mc
Disintegrations Per Second 4-15-55	5,400	240	205	434	211

b) COUNTING RATES OF REFERENCE SOURCES FOR CALIBRATION

SHELF NUMBER	1	1	1	1	1
C.P.S.	91.8 ± 0.32%	42.0 ± 0.31%	64.2 ± 0.37%	155.5 ± 0.23%	69.7 ± 0.57%
Date	3-28-55	3-28-55	3-25-55	3-25-55-	3-23-55
Number of Data Averaged	10	10	10	10	10
Total Counts/run	38,000	17,000	26,000	64,000	30,000
SHELF NUMBER	2	2	2	2	2
C.P.S.	26.1 ± 0.38%	16.8 ± 0.64%	24.9 ± 0.42%	59.7 ± 0.20%	33.2 ± 0.46%
Date	3-30-55	3-30-55	3-29-55	3-29-55	3-29-55
Number of Data Averaged	10	10	10	10	10
Total Counts/run	10,000	10,000	10,000	25,000	14,000
SHELF NUMBER	3	3	3	3	3
C.P.S.	7.51 ± 0.77%	7.45 ± 0.59%	10.52 ± 0.45%	25.8 ± 0.69%	16.1 ± 0.67%
Date	4-4-55	4-4-55	4-1-55	4-1-55	3-31-55
Number of Data Averaged	10	10	10	10	10
Total Counts/run	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
SHELF NUMBER	4	4	4	4	4
C.P.S.	2.64 ± 0.96%	3.80 ± 1.09%	5.35 ± 0.80%	13.55 ± 0.48%	8.91 ± 0.69%
Date	4-23-55	4-23-55	4-22-55	4-21-55	4-5-55
Number of Data Averaged	20	16	16	12	15
Total Counts/run	2,500	2,500	2,500	10,000	5,000
SHELF NUMBER	5	5	5	5	5
C.P.S.	1.06 ± 2.70%	2.26 ± 0.77%	3.21 ± 0.81%	8.25 ± 0.83%	5.55 ± 1.06%
Date	4-20-55	4-18-55	4-18-55	4-16-55	4-15-55
Number of Data Averaged	18	18	18	17	20
Total Counts/run	1,200	2,500	2,500	5,000	2,500

c)

DATA FOR DETERMINATION OF MASS ABSORBER COEFFICIENTS

Absorber Thickness Expressed in Milligrams per (cm)²

	C ¹⁴	CO ⁶⁰	I ¹³¹	Tl ²⁰⁴	Bi ²¹⁰	Pa ²³⁴
Absorber:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Counts:	7.60	7.46	246.0	10.7	25.8	16.4
Absorber:	1.93	1.93	1.93	3.28	6.89	6.89
Counts:	4.51	6.65	220.0	9.62	21.9	15.7
Absorber:	3.28	3.28	3.28	6.89	14.10	28.80
Counts:	3.18	6.25	205.0	8.52	18.9	13.9
Absorber:	5.22	5.22	5.22	10.40	28.80	46.10
Counts:	1.88	5.62	190.0	7.83	14.7	12.7
Absorber:		6.89	6.89	14.10	46.10	102.00
Counts:		5.21	176.0	7.06	10.8	9.5
Absorber:			10.4	19.30	66.60	165.00
Counts:			155.0	6.24	7.86	6.85
Absorber:					102.00	
Counts:					4.47	

d)

DETERMINATION OF ALUMINUM WINDOW AND AIR ABSORPTION FACTOR f_w (Air Density = $1.20 \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{cm}^2}$ at $20^\circ\text{C} \pm 2^\circ$ and 760 mm)

SHELF #	1	2	3	4	5
Air distance from tube window to shelf	0.25 cm	1.7 cm	3.3 cm	5.0 cm	6.5 cm
$x = 1.9 + (\text{air space}) \times 1.20 \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{cm}^2}$	2.20	3.94	5.86	7.90	9.70
$f_w = e^{-\frac{\mu}{\rho} x}$					
f_w for C ¹⁴	0.540	0.352	0.212	0.123	0.0765
f_w for CO ⁶⁰	0.901	0.814	0.737	0.633	0.604
f_w for I ¹³¹	0.896	0.824	0.750	0.679	0.621
f_w for Tl ²⁰⁴	0.938	0.893	0.843	0.795	0.755
f_w for Bi ²¹⁰	0.963	0.935	0.905	0.874	0.848
f_w for Pa ²³⁴	0.988	0.979	0.969	0.959	0.949

e)

COMPUTED COUNTING EFFICIENCY FOR CALIBRATED BETA RAY SOURCES AS OF 4-15-55

SHELF	C ¹⁴	CO ⁶⁰	Tl ²⁰⁴	Bi ²¹⁰	Pa ²³⁴
1	0.0196 \pm 1.06%	0.1206 \pm 1.06%	0.2074 \pm 1.07%	0.2310 \pm 1.03%	0.2077 \pm 1.05%
2	0.00853 \pm 1.07%	0.0534 \pm 1.17%	0.0845 \pm 1.08%	0.0914 \pm 1.03%	0.0998 \pm 1.12%
3	0.00407 \pm 1.26%	0.0262 \pm 1.16%	0.0378 \pm 1.09%	0.0408 \pm 1.21%	0.0489 \pm 1.20%
4	0.00247 \pm 1.41%	0.0155 \pm 1.49%	0.0204 \pm 1.28%	0.0222 \pm 1.11%	0.0274 \pm 1.23%
5	0.00159 \pm 2.88%	0.00968 \pm 1.25%	0.0129 \pm 1.29%	0.0139 \pm 1.29%	0.0172 \pm 1.45%

APPENDIX II

Calibration data for Vial Scintillation Detector

Components:

Vial Scintillation Detector #124

Multiscaler #4522

Operational Data:

Scaler voltage setting 1225 volts

Pulse height selector - 0 volts

Counts:

All counts for Vial Scintillation Detector corrected for background only

4,000,000 counts/run for vials 1 through 6

300,000 counts/run for vials 7 through 12

Average value includes 95% confidence limit

Isotope:

I¹³¹ - 1 ml per vial

COUNTING RATE OF VIAL SCINTILLATION DETECTOR

Sample Vial #	Hour and Date	C.P.S. Corrected for Background	C.P.S. Corrected to 1000 hrs	C.P.S. Averaged 2-9-55
1	2-9-55		2-9-55	
	0950	4,212.6	4,212.6	
	1010	4,204.7	4,204.7	
	1030	4,196.7	4,203.7	4,207
2	1050	4,230.9	4,244.1	
	1110	4,236.4	4,254.4	
	1127	4,242.8	4,268.8	4,255
3	1145	4,391.3	4,419.0	
	1205	4,391.0	4,423.1	
	1220	4,391.5	4,427.4	4,423
4	1240	4,378.6	4,404.8	
	1345	4,312.0	4,377.5	
	1450	4,299.6	4,377.6	4,386
5	2-10-55			
	0850	4,035.7	4,377.2	
	0910	4,011.6	4,360.2	
	0930	4,008.6	4,361.1	4,366
6	0940	3,963.0	4,323.1	
	1000	3,971.7	4,341.8	
	1030	3,972.6	4,350.6	4,338
7	2-21-55			
	0830	1,509.1	4,239.2	
	0846	1,489.3	4,183.5	
	0854	1,502.3	4,220.1	4,214
8	0857	1,517.1	4,261.5	
	0904	1,524.6	4,281.0	
	0907	1,520.2	4,282.2	4,274
9	0916	1,505.8	4,265.8	
	0925	1,490.1	4,221.5	
	0930	1,502.5	4,256.4	4,247
10	0935	1,494.9	4,234.8	
	0940	1,492.0	4,226.8	
	0945	1,498.2	4,244.4	4,234
11	0950	1,501.9	4,250.4	
	0954	1,502.8	4,257.7	
	1000	1,497.1	4,241.3	4,249
12	1005	1,509.0	4,274.8	
	1010	1,509.8	4,277.3	
	1015	1,508.4	4,273.3	4,275

Average Value
4,289 \pm 0.54%

APPENDIX III

Data for determination of I^{131} strength

I^{131} planchets were counted with an interim Geiger-Mueller tube #2DK95, and at a later date a relative counting rate was determined between this tube and the calibrated counter tube.

Components:

Tube #2DK95

operated at 1350 volts

dead time 1.1×10^{-4} seconds

Tube #2DL1 - see Appendix II

Counts:

All counts taken on 5th shelf and corrected for dead time and background.

Averaged values include 95% confidence limits.

a)

COUNTING RATES FOR I^{131} PLANCHETS

Planchet Number	Date & Hour 2-14-55	Counts/run	C.P.S. corrected for dead time & BC	Correction factor to 1 ML	Correction Factor to 1000 hrs 2-9-55	Corrected counts as of 1000 hrs 2-9-55
1	1351	10,000	48.1341 49.5575 48.3786 49.3004 48.5163	1/0.852	1/0.640	88.2741 90.8844 88.7224 90.4129 88.9750
2	1438	10,000	49.1484 44.1584 49.2858 48.5737 49.5475	1/0.846	1/0.639	89.0224 89.0405 89.2713 87.9814 84.7453
3	1538	10,000	49.8678 50.0997 50.6693 49.9499 49.9095	1/0.872	1/0.636	89.9183 90.3364 91.3635 90.0663 89.9993
4	1630	10,000	49.4928 48.6693 48.5260 49.2202 48.8135	1/0.859	1/0.634	90.8791 89.3670 89.1030 90.3786 89.6318

Average Value $89.7 \pm 0.45\%$

b)

REALTIVE COUNTING RATE FOR TUBES #2DL1 and #2DK95 FOR I^{131}

Tube #	4-19-55 Hour	Counts/run	C.P.S. corrected for deadtime and BC	Average Value
2 DK95	1420 1445 1513 1535 1600	40,000	66.690174 67.169176 66.853023 66.673986 66.167871	$66.71 \pm 0.53\%$
2 DL1	1430 1500 1521 1545 1612	40,000	75.45797 75.26645 73.97837 75.46167 74.38248	$74.91 \pm 0.063\%$

Counting rate tube #2 DL 1 = $1.123 \pm 0.69\%$
 Counting rate tube #2 DK 95





JA 28 58
JA 28 58

8 0 0 .

Thesis
M8225

28465

Morey

Inter calibration
between a vial scin-
tillation detector and
a Geiger-Mueller
counter.

JA 28 58
JA 28 58

8 0 0 .

Th
M

Thesis
M8225


28465

Morey

Inter calibration between a
vial scintillation detector
and a Geiger-Mueller counter.

thesM8225

Inter calibration between a vial scintil



3 2768 001 91667 9

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY